

## Validation Checklist: Consultation Replies received since publication of agenda

Consultee	Comment	Response
Council for British Archaeology	<p>Listed Building Consent applications should be supported by Heritage statements containing information on the significance of the building or site, the proposed works and how they affect the building or site and justification for the works.</p> <p>Heritage statements should also cover areas of archaeological statements and in some circumstances applications for hedgerow removal.</p>	<p>Change already made to requirements for Heritage Statements on Page 16 and definition on Page 47.</p> <p>Change already made to requirements for Heritage Statements on Page 16 and definition on Page 47. Planning Statement require for hedgerows already includes requirement address nay archaeological significance of hedgerow</p>
Natural England - additional comments	Include requirement for evidence to demonstrate that proposed tree works will not harm protected species.	Not a material consideration in these cases but this is covered by other legislation.
Royal British Legion	Would wish to be consulted on any proposed alteration or demolition of a building containing a war memorial or free standing war memorial	Noted.
Housing and Social Services (Housing Development)	The site area <b>and</b> the number of dwellings including replacement, demolished, extended, refurbished etc should be referred to.	Document will be amended to include reference to site area in the criteria

	<p>Part of the validation/application should be extended to include a pro forma giving brief details of the scheme and proposed affordable housing levels.</p> <p>is HASS's experience that Unilateral Agreements and S106s prepared by applicants without detailed consultation with HASS and Legal Services results in defective agreements. HASS/Legal have a 'York' template S106 that is used successfully and has been found to be the best starting point for agreements by all parties.</p> <p>The definition under Explanatory Guidance of terms is too wide and presents loopholes. E.g. no reference to pepperpotting.</p>	<p>The new forms ask for detail information relating to dwelling types. A shorter proforma could however be required as well with relevant applications</p> <p>Reference to the template to be made in Section2 under Legal Agreements</p> <p>The purpose of the guidance here is to briefly explain the terms used in the criteria in section 3, rather than set out the acceptability levels. There is no dilution of individual requirements</p>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------